

**ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH  
AHERA THREE YEAR REINSPECTION  
ASBESTOS PROGRAM  
SCHOOL INFORMATION FORM**

## THREE-YEAR REINSPECTION

Unit:  
Building ID:

IDPH ID Number:

### REPORT DATE:

**Prepared for:**  
**Chicago Public Schools**  
**42 W. Madison Street**  
**Chicago, IL 60602**

**Prepared by:**

, ,

Phone

Fax

**DO NOT REMOVE FROM SCHOOL**  
**REQUIRED BY FEDERAL LAW**

Mr. Eric Culbertson  
Asbestos Program  
Illinois Department of Public Health  
525 West Jefferson Street  
Springfield, Illinois 62761

Re: Chicago Public Schools - Three-Year Reinspections

Dear Mr.Culbertson:

, Managing Environmental Consultant (MEC), conducted the Three-Year Reinspections and performed management plan updates for the Chicago Public Schools (CPS) facilities in Region Elementary Schools. Please update your records with the following information.

|                      |       |            |              |
|----------------------|-------|------------|--------------|
| School District: 299 | Unit: | Region: 02 | IDPH ID:     |
| School:              |       |            | Building ID: |
| Address:             |       |            |              |

Building Contact: \_\_\_\_\_ Contact Phone: \_\_\_\_\_

Current Building Owners: Chicago Public Schools

Reinspection Date:

Review Date:

Inspector: \_\_\_\_\_ Inspector IDPH License: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Management Planner: \_\_\_\_\_ Management Planner IDPH License: \_\_\_\_\_

If you have any questions or comments, please contact us at

Sincerely,

## **Environmental Notification to Occupants**

To: Faculty, Staff and Parents  
From: Chicago Public Schools  
Date:

RE: , Unit

Dear Faculty, Staff and Parents,

This letter is to notify you that the asbestos three year re-inspection has been completed at , following the Federal Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response Act (AHERA), 40 CFR Part 763, Subpart E and is available for your review at the main office of the school.

Although asbestos-containing building materials have been identified at , there is no reason to believe that any threat to the health of students or staff exists at this time. CPS will continue to carefully monitor the condition of asbestos-containing building materials and if conditions warrant, all appropriate steps will be taken to maintain the health and safety of all building occupants.

If you have any questions regarding this matter or require additional information, please feel free to contact , the designated Local Education Authority's Designated Person at .

## **Table of Contents**

- I. School and Inspection Information
- II. Executive Summary
- III. Methodology
- IV. Abatement History
- V. Reassessments and Recommendations
- VI. Conclusions

Table I: Inspector's Reinspection Findings

Table II: Management Planner's Review

### **APPENDICES:**

- Appendix A: Assessment Sheets, Drawings and Photos
- Appendix B: Inspector and Management Planner Licenses
- Appendix C: Laboratory Accreditations
- Appendix D: Laboratory Results
- Appendix E: Chain of Custody Forms

## SCHOOL AND INSPECTION INFORMATION

### 1. School Information

|          |              |            |
|----------|--------------|------------|
| School:  | Unit:        | Region: 02 |
| Address: |              |            |
| IDPH ID: | Building ID: |            |
| Contact: | Phone:       |            |

### 2. Description of Facility

|                        |                          |
|------------------------|--------------------------|
| Original Construction: | Additional Construction: |
| Total Square Footage:  | No of Floors:            |
| Current Occupancy:     |                          |

### 3. LEA Designated Person

|                                 |        |
|---------------------------------|--------|
| Contact:                        | Phone: |
| Address: 42 West Madison Street |        |
| Chicago, IL 60602               |        |

### 4. Managing Environmental Consultant

|            |      |
|------------|------|
| MEC:       |      |
| Contact:   |      |
| Address    |      |
| Phone: ' ' | Fax: |

### 5. Inspector

Inspector Name:

|                          |            |
|--------------------------|------------|
| Inspector IDPH license # | Signature: |
| Reinspection Date:       | Date:      |

### 6. Management Planner

Management Planner Name:

|                                   |            |
|-----------------------------------|------------|
| Management Planner IDPH license # | Signature: |
|                                   | Date:      |

### 7. Review Date:

### 8. LEA Designated Person's Acknowledgement

The reinspection report and recommendations have been received by me and appropriate action will be taken by the School District.

Name:

---

Unit :

Building:



### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.



### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

**Table I**  
**Inspector's Reinspection Findings**

---

# Chicago Public Schools

**School** Andersen EVGC **Unit** 29101 **Building ID** 2060B

**Address** 1148 North Honore Street **Region** 02

## ASBESTOS REINSPECTION FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### Inspector's Reinspection Findings Table 1

**Managing Environmental Consultant (MEC)**

, ,

Phone: Fax:

*Inspector's Comments are Summarized at the End of the Report*

---

| HA No | Material Description | Material Quantity | Material Units | Material Location | Asbestos Type | Material Category | Friable | Damage Type | Damage Quantity | Damage Units | Change in Assessment Category | Damage Category | Damage Reason | Disturbance Potential |
|-------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------|-------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------------|
|-------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------|-------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------------|

# Chicago Public Schools

**School** Andersen EVGC **Unit** 29101 **Building ID** 2060B

**Address** 1148 North Honore Street **Region** 02

## ASBESTOS REINSPECTION FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### Inspector's Reinspection Findings Table 1

**Managing Environmental Consultant (MEC)**

, ,

Phone: Fax:

*Inspector's Comments are Summarized at the End of the Report*

|                                                   |
|---------------------------------------------------|
| Reinspection Date                                 |
| Inspector Name                                    |
| Inspector's IDPH License Number / Expiration Date |

#### Inspector's Comments

|                   |                            |
|-------------------|----------------------------|
| <b>HA Number:</b> | <b>Inspector Comments:</b> |
|-------------------|----------------------------|



**Table II**

**Management Planner's Review**

---

# Chicago Public Schools

**School**   Andersen EVGC  
**Address**   1148 North Honore Street

**Unit**   29101  
Chicago, IL, 60622

**Building ID**   2060B  
**Region**   02

## ASBESTOS REINSPECTION FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### Management Planner's Review Table II

**Managing Environmental Consultant (MEC)**

11

Phone:

Fax:

### Management Planner's Comments Summarized at the End of the Report

| HA Num | Material Description                               | Material Quantity | Material Units | Material Location                                             | Asbestos Type | Material Category | Friable | Damage Quantity | Damage Units | Damage Category                      | Response |
|--------|----------------------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------|-----------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|----------|
|        | 12"x 12" Tan w/ White Streaks Floor Tile Mastic    | 5,800             | SF             | Rooms 220, 321, 320, 322, 324 & 323                           | Chrysotile    | MISC              | No      | 0               | SF           | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage |          |
|        | 9" x 9" Maroon Floor Tile NOT OBSERVED             | 1,050             | SF             | Basement Main Office-Not Observed                             | Chrysotile    | MISC              | No      | 0               | SF           | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage |          |
|        | 9" x 9" Maroon Floor Tile Mastic-Not Observed      | 1,050             | SF             | Main Office                                                   | Chrysotile    | MISC              | No      | 0               | SF           | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage |          |
|        | 12" x 12" Beige w/ Brown Streaks Floor Tile        | 3,200             | SF             | 2nd and 3rd Floor Corridors, Room 320                         | Assumed       | MISC              | No      | 14              | SF           | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage |          |
|        | 12" x 12" Beige w/ Brown Streaks Floor Tile Mastic | 3,200             | SF             | 2nd and 3rd Floor Corridors, Room 320                         | Assumed       | MISC              | No      | 14              | SF           | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage |          |
|        | 12" x 12" Green w/White Streaks Floor Tile         | 3,800             | SF             | Rooms 222-224, 221 Storage, 2nd Floor Stairwell               | Chrysotile    | MISC              | No      |                 | SF           | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage |          |
|        | 12" x 12" Green w/White Streaks Floor Tile Mastic  | 3,800             | SF             | Rooms 222-224, 221 Storage, 2nd Floor Stairwell               | Chrysotile    | MISC              | No      |                 | SF           | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage |          |
|        | 12" x 12" Mustard Floor Tile                       | 3,800             | SF             | 1st Floor E & W Stair Landing, Rooms 121, 120, 124, 123, 123B | Chrysotile    | MISC              | No      | 0               | SF           | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage |          |
|        | 12" x 12" Mustard Floor Tile Mastic                | 3,800             | SF             | 1st Floor E & W Stair Landing, Rooms 121, 120, 124, 123, 123B | Chrysotile    | MISC              | No      | 0               | SF           | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage |          |
|        | Orange Linoleum (NOT OBSERVED)                     |                   |                | 2nd and 3rd Floor Janitors Closets                            | Chrysotile    | MISC              |         |                 |              |                                      |          |
|        | Orange Linoleum Mastic- NOT OBSERVED               |                   |                | 2nd and 3rd Floor Janitors Closets                            | Assumed       |                   |         |                 |              |                                      |          |
|        | Hard Coat Plaster                                  | 80,000            | SF             | Throughout EVGC Building                                      | Assumed       | SURFACE           | No      | 0               | SF           | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage |          |
|        | Blue Multicolor Carpet Mastic                      | 300               | SF             | 1851 EVGC Bldg - Basement Office 1st Floor Office             | Assumed       | MISC              | No      | 0               | SF           | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage |          |
|        | Brown Carpet Mastic                                | 6                 | SF             | EVGC Bldg - Room 220 Storage Room                             | Assumed       | MISC              | No      | 0               | SF           | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage |          |
|        | Cardboard Pipe Insulation                          | 70                | LF             | Outside Room B-2 (Not Observed), 223 Closet                   | Chrysotile    | TSI               | Yes     | 0               | LF           | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage |          |
|        | Aircell Pipe Insulation- NOT OBSERVED              | 0                 | LF             | 1st Floor and 3rd Floor Corridor                              | Chrysotile    | TSI               | Yes     | 0               | LF           | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage |          |
|        | MJP on Aircell Pipe Insulation                     | 0                 | LF             | 1st and 3rd Floor Corridors                                   | Chrysotile    | TSI               | Yes     | 0               | LF           | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage |          |

# Chicago Public Schools

**School**   Andersen EVGC

**Unit**   29101

**Building ID**   2060B

**Address**   1148 North Honore Street

Chicago, IL, 60622

**Region**   02

## ASBESTOS REINSPECTION FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### Management Planner's Review Table II

**Managing Environmental Consultant (MEC)**

, ,

Phone:

Fax:

*Management Planner's Comments Summarized at the End of the Report*

|                                    |  |
|------------------------------------|--|
| Review Date                        |  |
| Manager Planner Name               |  |
|                                    |  |
| Manager IDPH License No/Expiration |  |

| HA Number | Management Comments |
|-----------|---------------------|
|           |                     |

# APPENDIX A

## Assessment Sheets, Drawings and Photos

---

# Chicago Public Schools

## 2025 AHERA REINSPECTION

### Inspector Assessment Form (REASSESSMENT)

LEA NAME: **Chicago Public Schools** UNIT NUMBER: BUIDLING ID:  
CITY/STATE: **Chicago, Illinois** AHERA INSPECTOR:  
SCHOOL NAME: INSPECTION DATE:  
ADDRESS: IDPH LICENSE NO:

---

### INFORMATION FROM PREVIOUS INSPECTION

HOMOGENEOUS AREA:

MATERIAL DESCRIPTION:

HISTORICAL AHERA DAMAGE CATEGORY **ACBM with the potential for damage**

HISTORICAL DAMAGE REASON: **Physical Damage**

HISTORICAL RESPONSE ACTION: **Follow O&M Plan**

ASBESTOS TYPE: FRIABLE:

---

### RESULTS OF REINSPECTION AND REASSESSMENT

This homogeneous area was reinspected and reassessed in accordance with Section 763.85 and 763.88 of AHERA and it's condition HAS NOT CHANGED when compared to the conditions of the last AHERA reinspection.

The current AHERA DAMAGE CATEGORY is determined to be . **ACBM with the potential for damage**

DAMAGE REASON: **Physical Damage**

DISTURBANCE POTENTIAL:

MATERIAL LOCATION:

MATERIAL QUANTITY: MATERIAL UNITS:

DAMAGE QUANTITY: DAMAGE UNITS:

COMMENTS:

Inspector's Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

# Chicago Public Schools

## 2025 AHERA REINSPECTION

### Management Planner Review Form

LEA NAME: **Chicago Public Schools** UNIT NUMBER: BUIDLING ID:  
CITY/STATE: **Chicago, Illinois** MANAGEMENT PLANNER:  
SCHOOL NAME: REVIEW DATE:  
ADDRESS: IDPH LICENSE NO:

---

HOMOGENEOUS AREA:

MATERIAL DESCRIPTION:

MATERIAL LOCATION:

MATERIAL QUANTITY:

MATERIAL UNITS:

DAMAGE QUANTITY:

DAMAGE UNITS:

---

In accordance with Sections 763.88 and 763.90 of the Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response Act (AHERA) the LEA must select a management planner to review the results of the inspection and assessment and recommend appropriate response actions. The original inspection of the above identified homogeneous area has been reviewed in accordance with Sections 763.88 and 763.90 with the following recommendations.

The RESPONSE ACTION recommendation is:

**Follow O&M Plan**

Comments:

Management Planner's Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

# Chicago Public Schools

*2025 AHERA REINSPECTION*

## APPENDIX B

### Inspector and Management Planner Licenses

---













































































# APPENDIX C

## Laboratory Accreditations

---

# APPENDIX D

## Laboratory Results

---

# APPENDIX E

## Chain of Custody Forms

---

## Three-Year Reinspection Key to Terms

|                          | CODE | KEY                                    |
|--------------------------|------|----------------------------------------|
| <b>MATERIAL</b>          |      |                                        |
|                          | ACBM | Asbestos Containing Building Materials |
| <b>MATERIAL CATEGORY</b> |      |                                        |
|                          | MISC | Miscellaneous                          |
|                          | SURF | Surfacing                              |
|                          | TSI  | Thermal System Insulation              |
| <b>MATERIAL UNITS</b>    |      |                                        |
|                          | LF   | Linear Feet                            |
|                          | SF   | Square Feet                            |
|                          | CF   | Cubic Feet                             |
| <b>DAMAGE TYPE</b>       |      |                                        |
|                          | Loc  | Localized                              |
|                          | Dist | Distributed                            |